



ISSN Print: 2664-7559
ISSN Online: 2664-7567
IJSHPE 2024; 6(1): 259-261
www.physicaleducationjournal.in
Received: 09-03-2024
Accepted: 12-04-2024

Harinderpal Singh
Teaching Assistant,
Department of Sports and
Physical Education,
University of Jammu,
Jammu and Kashmir, India

Rishiv Sharma
Lecturer, Department of
Sports and Physical
Education, University of
Jammu, Jammu and Kashmir,
India

Corresponding Author:
Harinderpal Singh
Teaching Assistant,
Department of Sports and
Physical Education,
University of Jammu,
Jammu and Kashmir, India

A study of fat percentage and muscle mass

Harinderpal Singh and Rishiv Sharma

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/26647559.2024.v6.i1d.133>

Abstract

The study aimed to Compare Study of Fat Percentage and Muscle Mass of Department of Sports and Physical Education and Department of Education, University of Jammu. A total of (40) subjects, out of which (20) were selected from Department of Sports and Physical Education, University of Jammu. (20) From Department of Education, University of Jammu and all of them were randomly selected for the study through a special sampling technique called as Simple random Sampling. The age of the subjects ranged between 18-21 years. To analyze the Fat Percentage and Muscle Mass of University Students of both the groups the following tests and equipments were used. Skin fold calliper for measuring Fat Percentage. Weighing machine for measuring weight and then fat percentage of each individual subject was subtracted from their actual weight to get the muscle mass of the subjects. The analysis of data was done by using statistical technique "t"- test for finding the significance difference of Fat Percentage And Muscle Mass of Department of Sports and Physical Education and Department of Education, University of Jammu and the level of significance was set at 0.05 levels ($p < 0.05$). The findings means and standard deviation of selected physical variables of University students from Department of Education *viz.* Fat Percentage is (9.96 ± 2.28) and Muscle Mass is (57.0 ± 1.51) and The findings means and standard deviation of selected physical variables of University students *viz.* Fat Percentage is (7.23 ± 3.19) and Muscle Mass is (59.87 ± 3.76) . Hence it is clear that the students from Department of Education are fatty and with least muscle mass as compared to that Students of Department of Sports and Physical Education, University of Jammu.

Keywords: Muscle mass, fat percentage, skin fold calliper, weighing machine

Introduction

In physical fitness, body composition is used to describe the percentages of fat, bone and muscle in human bodies. Because muscular tissue takes up less space in our body than fat tissue, our body composition, as well as our weight, determines leanness. Two people of equal height and body weight may look completely different from each other because they have a different body composition. The human body is composed from many major components at the cellular and tissue levels. These include water, minerals, protein and fat. Increases in the levels of fat components are detrimental to health and also sports performance. On the other hand, increases the protein component result from more muscle mass and hence are beneficial to athletes. The mineral component is mainly associated with bone. The density of bone can be problematic in the elderly where osteoporosis arises. The assessment of body composition is not only common in sport and exercise sciences but also in medicine. Most of the interest is in quantifying body fat in relation to health and to sports performance.

Skin fold method

The skin fold estimation methods are based on a skin fold test, also known as a pinch test, whereby a pinch of skin is precisely measured by calipers at several standardized points on the body to determine the subcutaneous fat layer thickness. These measurements are converted to an estimated body fat percentage by an equation. Some formulas require as few as three measurements, others as many as seven. The accuracy of these estimates is more dependent on a person's unique body fat distribution than on the number of sites measured. As well, it is of utmost importance to test in a precise location with a fixed pressure. Although it may not give an accurate reading of real body fat percentage, it is a reliable measure of body composition change over a period of time, provided the test is carried out by the same person with the same technique.

Skin fold-based body fat estimation is sensitive to the type of calliper used, and technique. This method also only measures one type of fat: subcutaneous adipose tissue (fat under the skin).

Procedure and Methodology

40) subjects, out of which (20) were selected from Department of Sports and Physical Education, University of Jammu (20) from Department of Education, University of Jammu. The subjects were selected by simple random sampling method. The age of the subjects ranged between 18-21 years. For the data of fat percentage the skin fold was picked up and the reading was taken from three major areas of the body where mostly the fat gets accumulated. These areas are chest, abdomen and thigh. After taking the measurement of these areas the values were added and then compared with Nomo-graph to know the percentage of fat in the body. This is measured to the nearest millimeter. This Nomo-graph consists of two scales. One scale indicates level of age of males and females; second one indicates percentage of fat. The scores or values from the three areas after adding are compared with the scale of fat percentage to know the fat percentage in the body and the data related to Muscle Mass was obtained by following steps given below:

Step 1: Weigh yourself with a body fat scale. The scale will automatically calculate your percentage of body fat.

Step 2: Subtract your body fat percentage from 100 to get your lean mass percentage. Here is an example: 100 - 25 percent body fat = 75 percent lean mass.

Step 3: Divide your lean mass percent by 100 to calculate the decimal for your lean mass percent. Here is an example: 100 / 75 = \.75

Step 4: Multiply your lean mass decimal by your total body weight to calculate your lean mass weight. If you weigh 175 lbs., multiply 175 by .75 for 131.25 lbs. of lean mass.

Table 1: Comparison of Fat Percentage of University Students of Department of Sports and Physical Education and Department of Education

Group	Mean	S.D.	M.D	DF	O.T	T.T
Department of Sports and Physical Education	7.23	3.19	2.73	58	3.81	2.00
Department of Education	9.96	2.28				




Fig 1: Graphical Representation of Mean difference University Students of Department of Sports and Physical Education and Department of Education

Table 2: Comparison of Muscle Mass of University Students of Department of Sports and Physical Education and Department of Education

Group	Mean	S.D.	MD	DF	O.T.	T.T
Department of Sports and Physical Education	59.87	3.76	2.86	58	3.86	2.00
Department of Education	57.00	1.51	2.86			




Fig 1: Graphical Representation of Mean difference Between University Students of Department of Sports and Physical Education and Department of Education.

Conclusion

Within the limitations of the study and from statistical analysis the following conclusion was drawn.

There was found significant difference in Fat Percentage and Muscle Mass of University Students of Department of Sports and Physical Education and Department of Education. From result of this survey type of study we come to this conclusion that students of Department of Education gain more fat and have less muscle mass as compared to students of Department of Sports and Physical education. The reason behind all this might be inactiveness or comfortable life style in Department of Education as compared to students of Department of Sports and Physical Education and. So the students of Department of Education, university of Jammu are recommended through this study to take initiatives to get rid of this problem by taking active part in running, walking and other physical activities to burn this accumulated fat otherwise it may prove fatal to them.

References

1. Maclaren D, Sproule J, Speers R, Reilly T, Eston R. Sports and Exercise Physiology. New York: Bios Scientific Publishers; c2007.
2. Sharma JP. Exercise Physiology. New Delhi: Vivek Thani Khel Sahitya Kendra; c2010.
3. Lakshmi V. Physical Fitness. Delhi: Vivek Thani Khel Sahitya Kendra; c2009.
4. Ali P. The Relation of Body Fats, Anthropometric Factor and Physiological Functions of Iranian Female National Judo Team. J Strength Cond Res. 2010 Jun;24(6):25.
5. Sharma JP. Exercise Physiology. New Delhi: Khel Sahitya Kendra; c2005. p. 1.
6. Kumar K. International Conference On Futuristic Trends In Physical Education. Patiala: Twenty First Century Publications; c2013 Jan. p. 200.
7. Tripathi A, Srivastava AK. Physical Education (UGC NET/SLET). New Delhi: Danika Publishing Company; c2012. p. 2.